RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER p.1

FAX

DEC 1 9 2005

To: Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Fax. No. 571 273 8300

From: Arthur W. Fisher Tel: 972 788 1336 Fax: 972 788 1335

December 19, 2005

Art Fisher

No. of pages faxed - 4

Re: SN 10/715,322

Sir,

Attached is an Interview Summary in response to the Office Action having a mailing date of December 12, 2005.

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

DEC 19 2005

App. No. 10/715,322 December 19, 2005 Amendment and Reply to Office Action mailed December 12, 2005 Docket No. QNX003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Attorney Docket No. QNX003)

888

§

§

888888

§

In re Application Brian A. Hamman

of:

Serial No. 10/715,322

Filed: November 14, 2003

For: Liquid Cooling System

Group No.: 2835

Examiner: Vortman, Anatoly

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER RULE 8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to Commissioner for Patents at Fayno. 571 273 8300

on December 19, 2005

By:

Arthur W. Fisher

Interview Summary

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action having a mail date of December 12, 2005, and citing a request by the Examiner for an Interview Summary, Applicant hereby submits an Interview Summary below for the above identified application on December 6, 2005.

Summary

Application No. 10/715,322

Name of Applicant: Brian A. Hamman

Date of Interview: 12/6/05

Type of Interview: telephonic

Participants: Applicant's attorney, below named & the Examiner: Anatoly Vortman

Exhibits: None

Dec 19 05 10:58a Art Fisher 972 788 1335 p.3

Docket No. QNX003

App. No. 10/715,322 December 19, 2005 Amendment and Reply to Office Action mailed December 12, 2005

Prior art discussed: None Agreement reached: N/A Claims Discussed: All

Substance of the Interview:

- 1. Applicant again repeated its objection to the election requirement citing the reasons given in the Remarks of the Reply and Amendment of September 12, 2005. The Examiner maintained his position of an election requirement.
- 2. Applicant stated its objection to the Examiner's notice of non-responsiveness having a mailing date of November 17, 2005 to the Reply and Amendment of September 12, 2005. The Examiner maintained his position of non-responsiveness in the Office Action of November 17, 2005 citing that the amendments made by applicant to the elected invention "must be strictly within the scope of the elected claim" and re-iterating his basis for claiming that the amended claim was not strictly within the scope of the elected invention. Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's interpretation of the requirement and has given the reasons for its disagreement in the Remarks of the Reply and Amendment dated December 13, 2005.
- 3. Applicant discussed with the Examiner why it could not amend the elected claims as Applicant believes it is entitled to do so, particularly since no examination has taken place as yet. No agreement or understanding was reached between the Examiner and Applicant on this issue or when the elected claims could be amended except for a possibility after examination of the elected claims.
- 4. The Examiner suggested making non-elected claims dependent from the elected claims so they may be later rejoined upon allowance of the elected claims. Applicant has adopted the Examiner's suggestion in the Reply and Amendment of December 13, 2005.

Date: 17/19/05

Dec 19 05 10:58a

App. No. 10/715,322 December 19, 2005 Amendment and Reply to Office Action mailed December 12, 2005 Docket No. QNX003

Should the Examiner have any further comments or issues, the Applicant respectfully invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below or at art.fisher@patentdominion.net

Respectfully submitted,

Arthur W. Fisher

Registration No. 27,549

Patent Dominion LP

555 Republic Drive, Suite 200

Plano, Texas 75075

(972) 788-1336/office

(972) 788-1335/facsimile